1	KRISTEN MONSELL (CSB No. 304793) Email: kmonsell@biologicaldiversity.org	
2	CATHERINE KILDUFF (CSB No. 256331)	
3	Email: ckilduff@biologicaldiversity.org CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY	
4	1212 Broadway, Suite 800	
5	Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 844-7137	
	Facsimile: (510) 844-7150	
6	DEAN S. KRISTY (CSB No. 157646)	
7	Email: dkristy@fenwick.com NAIR DIANA CHANG (CSB No. 287624)	
8	Email: dchang@fenwick.com	
9	FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor	
10	San Francisco, CA 94104	
11	Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350	
12		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Biological Diversity	
14	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST SAN FRANCISC	FRICT OF CALIFORNIA O DIVISION
15 16	SAN FRANCISO	O DIVISION
	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,	O DIVISION) Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC)
16	SAN FRANCISO	O DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION
16 17	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,	O DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S
16 17 18	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff,	O DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST
16 17 18 19	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	O DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC (PROPOSED) ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS
16 17 18 19 20 21	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v.	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC (PROPOSED) ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC (PROPOSED) ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC (PROPOSED) ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	SAN FRANCISO CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY, Plaintiff, v. CHARLTON H. BONHAM,	CO DIVISION Case No. 3:17-cv-05685-MMC PROPOSED ORDER ON THE MOTION TO INTERVENE OF PACIFIC COAST FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S ASSOCIATION AND INSTITUTE FOR FISHERIES RESOURCES; VACATING HEARING; DIRECTIONS TO MOVANTS Date: Apr. 20, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: Courtroom 7, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Maxine M. Chesney

1

2

4 5

67

8

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

PROPOSED ORDER

Refore the Court is a motion to intervene by the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Association and the Institute for Fisheries Resources (collectively, "PCFFA"). After consideration of the briefs and arguments of counsel, and being fully advised, the Court hereby GRANTS PCFFA's motion to intervene with respect to the remedial phase of this case.

PCFFA's intervention papers demonstrate that its interest in this case is limited to the potential relief the Court might issue should it find Defendant liable, issues the court cannot consider in ruling on the merits of Plaintiff's claim. *See Wishtoyo Foundation v. United Water Conservation Dist.*, No. 16-3869-DOC, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 153184, at *9-10 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 7, 2017). Granting PCFFA's motion to intervene in the remedial phase of this case only will promote judicial efficiency, fairness, and the expeditious resolution of this case. *See Stringfellow v. Concerned Neighbors in Action*, 480 U.S. 370, 383 n.2 (1987) (Brennan, J., concurring).

[ALTERNATIVE PROPOSED] ORDER

Before the Court is a motion to intervene by the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Association and the Institute for Fisheries Resources (collectively, "PCFFA"). After consideration of the briefs and arguments of counsel, and being fully advised, the Court hereby GRANTS PCFFA's motion to intervene subject to the following conditions:

- 1) PCFFA shall abide by the scheduling order previously issued by this Court; without having obtained specific leave of court
- 2) PCFFA shall not seek any independent discovery against the existing parties; and
- 3) PCFFA shall not introduce evidence regarding the potential impact of the potential relief in this case during the merits phase; and ¹
- 4) PCFFA shall coordinate with Defendant to present to the Court only those arguments not advanced by Defendant.

Such conditions will help ensure the efficient conduct of this proceeding. *See Stringfellow v. Concerned Neighbors in Action*, 480 U.S. 370, 383 n.2 (1987) (Brennan, J., concurring); *see also*

Wishtoyo Foundation v. United Water Conservation Dist., No. 16-3869-DOC, 2017 U.S. Dist.

1. Although the Court has stricken the above proposed condition, the Court anticipates that neither PCFFA nor the existing parties will expend their own, or require the Court to expend its, resources by introducing irrelevant evidence.

LEXIS 153184, at *9-10 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 7, 2017) (courts cannot consider the impacts of potential relief in ruling on the merits of a plaintiff's claim under Section 9 of the Endangered Species Act).

In light of the above, the April 27, 2018, hearing is hereby VACATED, and PCFFA is hereby DIRECTED to file, no later than April 16, 2018, their Answer to plaintiff's Complaint.

DATED: April 10, 2018

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge